

Measurement of contemporary and fossil carbon contents of PM 2.5 aerosols: results from Turtleback Dome, Yosemite National Park

Graham bench

October 21, 2003

**Environmental Scince and Technology** 

#### **Disclaimer**

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

## Measurement of contemporary and fossil carbon contents of PM2.5 aerosols:

## results from Turtle back Dome, Yosemite National Park

#### GrahamBench

CenterforAcceleratorMassSpectrometry,L -397,

LawrenceLivermoreNationalLaboratory,

Livermore, CA94551

**Keywords:** Aerosols, Acceleratormass spectrometry, wildfire, PM2.5, fossilcarbon, contemporary carbon

## Contact Information for Corresponding Author:

GrahamBench,

CenterforAcceleratorMassSpectrometry,L -397,

7000EastAve,

LawrenceLivermoreNationalL aboratory,

Livermore, CA94551 -0900.

Phone: (925) -423-5155

Fax:(925) -423-7884

Email: bench1@llnl.gov

UCRL-JP-200403

### **Abstract**

The impact of aerosol particulate matter of mean mass aerodynamic diameter ≤2.5 µm (PM2.5aerosols), on health, visibility, and compliance with EPA's regional hazer egulations is a growing concern. Techniques that can help better characterize particulate matter are required to better understand the constituents, causes and sources of PM 2 .5 aerosols. Measurement of the <sup>14</sup>Cinfossilcarbon materials and the known <sup>14</sup>C/CratioofthePM2.5 aerosols, the absence of <sup>14</sup>C/Clevels in contemporary carbon materials allows use of a two -component model to derive estimate the relative contributions of fossil fuels and biogenic aerosols to the total aerosol loading. Here, the methodology for performing such an assessment using total suspended particulate Hi -vol aerosol samplers to collect PM 2.5 aerosols on quartz fiber filters and the <sup>14</sup>C/C ratios is presented and illustrated technique of accelerator mass spectrometry to measure using PM2.5aerosolscollectedatYosemiteNationalPark.

### Introduction

On July 18,1997, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is suedrevised National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) particulate matter (PM) [1]. In actions for PM 2.5 aerosols (particles with mean mass aerodynamic diameter  $\leq$  2.5  $\mu$ m) NAAQS, EPA has determined that visibility impairment due to regional haze is a PM 2.5 effect of concern [2]. Consequently, the impact of PM 2.5 aerosols, on health, visibility, and compliance with EPA's regional haze regulations is a growing concern. Techniques that can help be etter characterize particulate matter are required to better understand the causes and sources of PM 2.5 aerosols.

Radiocarbon ( <sup>14</sup>C) is a naturally occurring radioisotope, produced in the atmosphere by cosmic ray interaction with <sup>14</sup>N. It oxidizes to CO <sub>2</sub>, and enters the food chain through plant photosynthesis, so that all living things are intrinsically labeled with a characteristic <sup>14</sup>C/C ratio. <sup>14</sup>Cinthelate 50's and early Atmospheric nuclear testing produced large additional quantities of 60's: the ra diocarbon content of the atmosphere doubled in the northern hemisphere between 1955 and 1963[3]. Since the almost complete cessation of atmospheric testing in 1964, atmospheric <sup>14</sup>C levels have been declining as this excess is mixed into the biosphere. Th e present atmospheric 14C/Cratio, expressed in terms of the "Modern" radiocarbon nomenclature [4], is 109 percent Modern Carbon (pMC) [5], or 1.09 times Modern (fraction modern). The <sup>14</sup>C/Cratios that are similar tothepresentatmospheric carbon content of materials that possess <sup>14</sup>C/Clevelisoftendescribedascontemporarycarbonandsuchmaterialsareoftenreferredtoas containing contemporary radio carbon levels.

Carbon that constitutes contemporary aerosol particulate matter is primarily biogenic in originarising from the growth, natural biologic processes, destruction and anthroprogenic use of

trees and plants. Trees and other perennial plants contain a year -by-year record of atmospheric

14C in the radiocarbon content of their wood, leaves, etc. Leaves and small twigs have 14C/C

ratios at or close to the present 1.09 fraction modern. The interiors of larger branches -wood

from closer to the time of atmospheric testing -contain more 14C. Innerrings of old trees dating

from before atmospheric nuclear testing have 14Clevels below Modern.

Thus, carbon containing aerosols derived from trees and plants, unless they come from greater than 45 -year old wood, will tend to contain radiocarbon levels at or above Modern. However, the exactlevels will dependenthesource material and may even vary with time in the case of sources such as wood smoke arising from fire burning into large branches or logs spanning significant numbers of years of different large branches.

In contrast, fossil fuel -derived materials are made from carbon that was sequestered underground for periods that were very long compared to the 5730 -year half-life of <sup>14</sup>C. Hence oil, coal and materials and particles derived from these feed stocks, are radiocarbon -free. The carbon content of materials that possess no <sup>14</sup>C is often described as fossil carbon and such materials are often referred to as fossil carbon materials.

Other sources of carbon in the particulate matter can arise from carbonate dust and soil organics. Carbonates will provide an additional source of material that frequently contains no <sup>14</sup>C and can thus have a <sup>14</sup>C/C ratio that is identical to that of fossil carbon material, while radiocarbon activities of soil organics are typically close to, or slightly lower than, thos e of contemporary carbon materials [6].

Inprinciple, the absence of <sup>14</sup>Cinfossil carbon materials and the known <sup>14</sup>C/Clevels in contemporary carbon materials provides a method to determine contemporary and fossil carbon contents of PM 2.5 aerosols if the e <sup>14</sup>C/C ratio of the PM 2.5 aerosols can be measured and if contributions from soil organics and carbonates are small. Here, the methodology for performing

 $such an assessment using aerosol samplers to collect PM 2.5 aerosols on quartz fiber filters and the technique of accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) to measure $$^{14}$C/C ratios is presented and illustrated using PM 2.5 aerosols collected on quartz fiber filters at during the summer of 2002 at Turtlebackdome, Yosemite National Park.$ 

## **Experimental Section**

#### **SampleCollection**

Aerosol particulate matter was sampled at Turtleback Dome, Yosemite National Park at an elevation of 1605 meters above sea level. Samples were collected using a Thermo Anderson TotalSuspendedParticulate(TSP)Hi -VolsamplerwithaSA -230-Fimpactorplate.Thesampler wasoperatedatavolumetricflowof 1130l/minute toyieldaPM2.5sampleonthebackup.One slotted glass fiber substrate was installed in the Hi -Vol impactor head per sampling period to collectparticles greaterthan 2 .5microns. The glass fiber substrates were stored in sealed plastic bags prior to use, were only used for sizing and were discarded following sampling. One (20 x 25 cm 2) quartz fiber filter (Gellman QM -A) per sampling period was used as the backup filte rto collect PM2.5 aerosols. The quartz filters were pre -fired by baking at 600 C for 12 hours and stored in sealed plastic bags prior to use.

PM 2.5 aerosols were sampled daily from approximately 8:00 am to 8:00 pm Pacific standardtimefromJuly14th roughSeptember3,2002. Overthecourseofthecollectionperiods the prevailing wind direction was from the west -southwest corresponding to upslope flow from the San Joaquin valley below Yosemite National park . In many instances 12 -hours ampling was utilized on the same quartz filter for 3 consecutive days for a total sampling of 36 hours. However, when anticipated climate conditions suggested PM 2.5 levels might be substantially higher than average, quartz filters were changed daily or once every two days.

Thirteen quartz filters, including three at beginning, three towards the middle and two at the end of the study acted as vehicle controls. Each of these filters was placed in the sampler for tenminutes when it was not operating.

Following deploy ment quartz filters were immediately placed in new resealable plastic bags. The bagged filters were stored flat and unfolded in a cooldry, dark environment within a plastic container prior to shipment to Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) for analysis.

#### Samplepreparation

AtLLNLarealsamplesofsize25cm <sup>2</sup>(5x5cm)werecutwithaknifefromthecentralregionof eachquartzfilter. Eachsamplewas directly combusted in vacuum at 900 <sup>O</sup>C with CuO oxidizer in a sealed quartz tube. CO <sub>2</sub> from the combustion was cryogenically isolated from other combustion products and measured manometrically before conversion to graphite by hydrogen reduction using a cobalt catalyst [7,8].

 $^{14}$ C/C ratios for the graphite samples were measured by AMS at LLNL [9]. The data were reported as a fraction of the Modern radiocarbon standard (fraction Modern or FM) [4]. Measurements of CO  $_2$  obtained from combustion of duplicate areal samples by conventional massspectrometryproduced  $\delta^{13}$ Cvaluesvaryingbetween -22and -27permilaveraging -25+/-1 permil. The average  $\delta^{13}$ Cvalue was assumed for all PM2.5 aerosol samples in order to correct the radiocarbon measurements for isotopic fractionation effects.

Duplicate radiocarbon analyses were performed on eight randomly s elected PM 2.5 aerosolladenfilters. Forthereplicate analysis, another square 25 -cm² region towards the edge of the filter was analyzed.

#### **Datareductionandanalysis**

The geologican datmospheric factors present during the sampling periods suggested that it was justifiable to consider the carbon content of the PM 2.5 aerosols as a two -component

mixture of contemporary and fossil carbon (see Results and Discussion). For aerosol particulate matter whose carbon content is dominated by contemporary and/or fossil carbon, measurement of the  $^{14}$  C/Cratio and knowledge of the  $^{14}$  C/Clevels in contemporary carbon affords use of a simple two component method to determine the contemporary and fossil carbon contents. If R  $_{\rm a}$  is the  $^{14}$  C/C ratio of the PM 2.5 aerosol sam  $_{\rm c}$  is the  $^{14}$  C/C ratio of the contemporary component, then the fraction F  $_{\rm c}$  of the carbon on the filter that is derived from contemporary carbon is given by:

$$F_c = R_a / R_c \tag{1}$$

because all of the radio carbon must come from the contemporary fraction . Over the period from 1997 to 2002 the fraction Modern of contemporary samples slowly decreased from 1.11 to 1.09 [5,10]. Hence, for this study, R  $_{\rm c}$  (the  $^{14}$  C/Cratio of the contemporary component) was assumed to be 1.10+/  $_{\rm c}$  -0.02.

To account for any endog enous carbon on the quartz filters prior to sampling PM 2.5 aerosolsmeasured <sup>14</sup>C/Cratioswere corrected using the mixing equation:

$$R_a=R_m*L_m/(L_m-L_b)-R_b*L_b/(L_m-L_b)$$
 (2)

where R  $_{a}$  is the  $^{14}$  C/Cratio of the PM2.5 aerosol, R  $_{m}$  is the measured  $^{14}$  C/Cratio of the PM2.5 aerosol laden filter, L  $_{m}$  is the carbon mass of the analyzed region of the filter, L  $_{b}$  is the carbon mass on the analyzed region of ablank filter, R  $_{b}$ , is the  $^{14}$  C/Cratio of ablank filter, and L  $_{m}$  -L  $_{10}$ 

 $is the blank\ -corrected PM2.5 aerosol carb\ on mass. Uncertainties in R\ awere derived from those$  in the measured quantities using standard error propagation relationships.

Contemporary and fossil carbon masses (  $\mu g$ ) in the PM 2.5 aerosol were derived using equation (1) with R  $_a$  determined by equation (2). Total, contemporary and fossil carbon masses were converted to PM 2.5 aerosol concentrations (units of  $\mu g/m^3$ ) using the volume of air sampled for each sampling p eriod, the area of the quartz filter analyzed via AMS and the total area of the quartz filter through which the sampled air was drawn.

#### ResultsandDiscussion

 $The average \ (mean + / - standard \ deviation) \ values \ of carbon \ mass \ and \ fraction \ Modern \ obtain \ dern \ dernormal \ deviation) \ values \ of carbon \ mass \ and \ fraction \ Modern \ dernormal \ deviation) \ values \ dernormal \ deviation \$ 

Replicate analyses of the eight randomly selected PM 2.5 aerosol laden filters revealed consistency in both mass of carbon and associated fraction Modern to within measurement uncertainties corresponding to one sigma values of 0.02 mg for mass and 0.005 for FM fo reach filter. This suggests that the sampled area of 5x 5 cm yields carbon loadings and fraction Modernsthatare representative of the whole filter.

Table 1 shows the total carbon content and associated fraction Modern of the PM 2.5 aerosol from the sampled area of each laden filter. Contemporary and fossil carbon contents of the aerosols derived from the data in Table 1 using the two component model described in equation 1 are plotted against total carbon content in Figure 1.

Use of the two -component model to derive fossil and contemporary carbon contents assumes that contributions from non -fossil and non -contemporary sources of carbon during the sampling periods were non -existent or small. It may not be valid to use the model if other sources of carbon contribute significantly to the aerosol particulate matter, as multi -component mixtures must then be considered. The presence of significant quantities of carbonates in particulate matter can lead the model to overestimate the fossil carbon content which ile the presence of soil organics can lead the model to overestimate the contemporary carbon content.

For the summer of 2002 at Turtleback Dome there is a wealth of data that justifies application of the two -component model to the data in Table 1. First of all, Turtleback dome is

composed of granite and the sampler was installed on bedrock at the summit with little soil present in the vicinity of the sampler. In addition, visibility at the sampling site was periodically impaired due to significant contributions from smoke derived from wild fires for several periods in the study [11, 12]. PM 2.5 aerosol organic carbon contents at Turtleback dome during the summer of 2002 are consistent with significant smoke contributions periodically impairing visibility. PM 2.5 aerosol organic carbon contents were substantially higher than the historical average of  $\sim 3~\mu \text{g/m}^3$  and varied between 2 and 10  $\mu \text{g/m}^3$ , averaging  $\sim 5.5~\mu \text{g/m}^3$ , while total carbon contents averaged  $\sim 6~\mu \text{g/m}^3$  [11, 12]. These geologic, and atmospheric f actors suggest that contributions from non -fossil and non -contemporary sources of carbon during the sampling periods were minimal and that it is justifiable to consider the carbon contents of the PM 2.5 aerosolsasatwo -component mixture of contemporary and fossil carbon.

The data in Figure 1 reveal that the fossil carbon concentration appears to be constant at ~ 0.7 +/ - 0.1 µg/m³ and is independent of the total carbon content of the PM 2.5 aerosol.

Conversely, the contemporary carbon content varies in direct proportion to the total carbon content of the PM2.5 aerosols with a gradient of 0.9997, an intercept of —0.7 and a content of 0.9997. This implies that variation in the total carbon loading at Turtleback Dome arises solely from variation in the contemporary carbon loading of the PM2.5 aerosols.

Severalinferencescanbedrawnfromthetwo -componentm odeling. Because the aerosol PM2.5 fossil carbon content was effectively constant during the study period and typically much smaller than the contemporary carbon content, it is unlikely that fossil fuelemissions contributed significantly to periods of i mpaired visibility at Yosemite during the summer of 2002. In addition, since carbon that constitutes contemporary aerosol particulate matter is primarily biogenic in origin, the data in Figure 1 suggest that the majority of PM 2.5 aerosol carbon at

Yosemite during the summer of 2002 was biogenic in origin. The large variability in PM 2.5 aerosol contemporary carbon contents observed in Figure 1 likely arises from significant variations in a biogenic source or sources. It is not the purpose of this paper to make a detailed investigation of the cause of this variability. However, as visibility at the sampling site was periodically impaired due to significant contributions from smoke for several periods in the study [11,12], aplausible biogenic source for at least some of the variability is smoke from wild fires.

## Acknowledgements

TheauthorwouldliketothankTomCahillandtheUCDavisDeltagroupforloanoftheHi -Vol samplerforthedurationofthestudyandassistancewithsamplerinstallationat Turtlebackdome.

The author would also like to thank, Sonia Kreidenweis, Pierre Herckes, Jeff Collett and Bill Malmforfruitfuldiscussionsandinsightsrelatedtothispaper. Thisworkwasperformedinpart under the auspices of the U.S. Department of E nergy by University of California, Lawrence LivermoreNationalLaboratoryunderContractNo.W -7405-Eng-48.

#### References

- [1]U.S.EnvironmentalProtectionAgency, FederalRegister.40CFRPart50, **1997**,62(138),1 102.
- [2] U.S. Environmental Protection Ag ency, Federal Register. 40 CFR Part 51, 1999, 64(126), Docket No. A -95-38.

- [3] Levin, I.; Dromer, B.; Schock -Fisher, H.; Bruns, M.; Munnich, M.; Berdau, D.; Vogel, J.C.; Munnich, K.O. 25 years of tropospheric <sup>14</sup>Cobservations in Central Europe, *Radiocarbon*, **1985**, 27,1-19.
- [4]Stuiver,M.;Polach,H.A.Reportingof <sup>14</sup>Cdata. *Radiocarbon*,**1977**,19,355- 363.
- [5]Levin,I.;Kromer,B.TheNorthernHemispheremidlatitudetropospheric <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub>level1959 2002.Manuscriptsubmittedto *Radiocarbon*, **2003.**
- [6]T rumbore, S. Ageof Soil Organic Matter and Soil Respiration: Radiocarbon Constraints on Below ground CD ynamics. *Ecological Applications*, **2000**, *10*, 399-411.
- [7] Loyd, D. H.; Vogel, J. S.; Trumbore, S. Lithium contamination in AMS measurements of <sup>14</sup>C. *Radiocarbon*, **1991**, *33*,297-301.
- [8] Vogel, J. S.; Nelson, D.E.; Southon, J. R.

  \*\*Radiocarbon, 1987, 29,323 -333.\*\*

  14C background levels in an AMS system.
- [9] Southon, J. R.; Caffee, M. W.; Davis, J. C.; Moore, T. L.; Proctor, I. D.; Schumacher, B.;
  Vogel, J. S. The new LLNL AMS spectrometer. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in PhysicsResearch*, 1990, B52, 301-305.
- [10] Levin, I.; Kromer, B. Twenty years of atmospheric

  Station, Germany, *Radiocarbon*, **1997**, *39*, 205-218.
- [11] Ca rrico, C.M.; Kreidenweis, S.M.; Collett Jr., J.L.; Heath, J.A.; Herckes, P.; Lee, T.;
  McMeeking, G.R.; Engling, G.; Bench, G.; Day, D.E.; Malm, W.C. Regional Haze from
  ForestFiresandSanJoaquinValleyPollution: AerosolPropertiesatYosemiteNational Park.
  EosTrans .AGU, FallMeet.Suppl., 2002, 83(47), AbstractA22C -0124.
- [12] Heath, J.; Carrico, C.; Lee, T.; Collett, J.; Kreidenweis, S.; Herckes, P.; Engling, G.; Day, D.; Malm W.; Bench, G. PM 2.5 Aerosol Properties During the Summer 2002 Yosemite

VisibilityStudy. Proceedingsofthe96 <sup>th</sup>AnnualMeetingoftheAirandWasteManagement Association(AWMA),June2003AWMAconference,SanDiego,California, **2003**.

# **Tables**

 $\begin{table} \textbf{Table 1:} Carbon content and the associated fraction modern (FM) of PM 2.5 aeroso & ls obtained & from the sample darea of each aerosolla den filter. & from the sample darea of each aerosolla$ 

	CorrectedC	+/-	Corrected	+/-
Sample <sup>1</sup>	mass(mg) <sup>2</sup>		$FM^2$	
7/14-16/02	0.557	0.023	0.8460	0.0524
7/17-19/02	0.507	0.023	0.8139	0.0558
7/20-21/02	0.437	0.023	0.8008	0.0639
7/22-24/02	0.627	0.023	0.8838	0.0481
7/25-27/02	0.627	0.023	0.8821	0.0480
7/28/02	0.357	0.023	0.8965	0.0850
7/29/02	0.297	0.023	0.9483	0.1066
7/30/02	0.317	0.023	0.9725	0.1019
7/31-8/2/02	0.657	0.023	0.9513	0.0487
8/3-8/5/02	0.787	0.023	0.9465	0.0406
8/6-7/02	0.357	0.023	0.9265	0.0873
8/8-9/02	0.527	0.023	0.9596	0.0614
8/10/02	0.347	0.023	0.9665	0.0928
8/11-13/02	0.867	0.023	0.9736	0.0378
8/14/02	0.457	0.023	1.0316	0.0747
8/15/02	0.477	0.023	1.0471	0.0726
8/16-18/02	0.907	0.023	1.0473	0.0388
8/19-21/02	0.907	0.023	1.0315	0.0382
8/22-24/02	0.687	0.023	0.9410	0.0463
8/25-27/02	0.797	0.023	0.9751	0.0410
8/28-30/02	0.717	0.023	0.9351	0.0440
8/31-9/1/02	0.327	0.023	0.9348	0.0958
9/2-3/02	0.227	0.023	0.9361	0.1377

## **Figure Captions**

Figure 1: Graph of contemporary carbon (black squares) and fossil carbon (crosse s) concentration versus total carbon concentration for PM 2.5 aerosols collected at Turtleback dome from July 14 through September 3 2002. The solid lines are linear least squares fits to the data. The least squares fit to the contemporary carbon data has a gradient of 0.9997 and a coefficient of correlation of 0.99. The least squares fit to the fossil carbon data has a gradient of -0.00007.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Sampledescriptionreferstothedateordates of sampling for a filter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Carbon masses and fraction Moderns have been corrected using equation 2 for contributions from endogenous carbon on blank filters.

Figures.

Figure1:

